

**WESTON-SUPER- MARE TOWN COUNCIL
MINUTES OF THE COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE
HELD AT GROVE HOUSE ON
MONDAY 14TH SEPTEMBER 2020**

Meeting Commenced: 7.00 pm

Meeting Concluded: 8.32 pm

PRESENT: Councillors James Clayton (Chairman), Mark Aplin, Roger Bailey, Gill Bute, Gillian Carpenter, Sarah Codling, John Crockford-Hawley, Ciaran Cronnelly, Peter Fox, Peter McAleer, Ian Porter (S) and Helen Thornton.

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE: Councillor Alan Peak, Malcolm Nicholson (Town Clerk), Sarah Pearse (Deputy Town Clerk), Fay Powell (Grounds Manager), Samantha Bishop (Committee Officer), Alli Waller (YMCA Senior Lead Youth Worker) and Zoe Scott (Community and Grounds Administrator).

32.	Apologies for Absence and Notification of Substitutions Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Roz Willis who was substituted by Councillor Ian Porter and Councillor Ella Sayce with no substitution.
33.	To receive Declarations of Interest No declarations were received.
34.	To approve the accuracy of the minutes of the Community Service Committee meeting held on 9th March 2020 The minutes of the last meeting had been previously circulated with the agenda. PROPOSED BY: Councillor Sarah Codling SECONDED BY: Councillor Gill Bute RESOLVED: That the minutes be approved and signed by the Chairman as a true record of the meeting.
35.	References from other Committees 35.1 Minutes of Weston in Bloom Working Party held on 25th February 2020 The minutes had been previously circulated with the agenda. The Chairman of the Weston In Bloom Working Party gave an update on activity since March. A few volunteers had stepped down which was a great loss and they had been written to convey the council's thank for their hard work. Flowers had been ordered for displays at various sites. RESOLVED: That the minutes be received and noted.

36. Management Reports:

36.1 Youth Services

The report of the Operations Manager – YMCA had been previously circulated but some members had not been able to obtain it.

For the benefit of members Alli highlighted on sections of the report.

The Operations Manager himself had temporarily moved onto other sections and so Ali was solely running the Weston based youth services at present. All services were available online and the service had given a lot of support to young people since March especially in respect of mental health.

Back in July the YMCA were supporting the Stable Café, delivering lunches to families that found the time tough and didn't qualify for free school meals, came to an end. Over 10,000 lunches were delivered. Many of the families were then signposted to the Summer Picnic challenge in South Ward. This gave the team an opportunity to check in safely with young people and families that might be of concern and signpost them accordingly.

Slowly services were getting back to normal and Alli had begun to look at how face to face services could resume as they were exempt from the 6people government legislation.

A grant application was being put together to the department for Digital, Culture, Media and Sport to refurbish the sports hall behind the café. This would be a welcome additional community space for the young people of Weston.

Youth Council meetings had continued via zoom and three new members had been recruited. Their latest e-letter was circulated with the report.

Members conveyed their thanks to Ali and her team for all the work that had been carried out. It was recognised as a huge achievement and invaluable service to the community with little resource.

Alli reported that youth workers had been lost due to the current situation and that recruitment to replace had started.

RESOLVED: That the report of the Operations Manager - YMCA be noted.

Alli left the meeting at 7.13 pm

36.2 Grounds

The report of the Grounds Manager had been previously circulated with the agenda.

In response to a question the Grounds Manager clarified that that the Town Council had been approached by other parish councils to empty their dog bins. With the grounds team's limited resources a boundary had been set at Churchill as the team didn't want to overcommit themselves at this point. However, there was a real opportunity to expand and develop the service in future. Despite a training problem with the member of staff during early lockdown, the Grounds Manager was now very happy with how the service was running.

	<p>The increase in allotment waiting list requests was highlighted. The Grounds Manager reported that this was as a result of the pandemic and people's adjustment to lifestyles which was only going to continue. It was suggested that allotment provision should be another future area for consideration.</p> <p>It was confirmed that the toilet blockages, although reports were awaited, were usually the result of items being thrown down the toilets at Grove Park.</p> <p>The Chairman was pleased to hear that bringing the dog bin contract in house had proved successful and was generating a positive response. He suggested forming a working group to discuss the possibility of pursuing further contracts with other parishes.</p> <p>RESOLVED: That the report of the Grounds Manager be noted.</p>
37.	<p>Public Toilets Contract Options</p> <p>The Town Clerk verbally reported that together with the Grounds Manager he had been looking at the possibility of tendering out the public toilets contract. The Council had looked at this option previously but decided not to pursue and he was now speaking with two possible companies. The tender would be split up into 3 elements; complaints, cleaning and maintenance. Contracting the service out to a company with the resources would unify the service.</p> <p>Officers added that it was a good time to look at outsourcing the public toilets service as it would save a great deal of cross department time.</p> <p>Members were requested to authorise a procurement process.</p> <p>A member requested that the procurement process needed to include the council's decision to become a real living wage employer.</p> <p>Further details on the procurement options were requested. The Town Clerk apologised for the lack of a written report in advance. At this stage, permission for a procurement process was being requested which would not commit the council to outsourcing the service; and a further report would be submitted to the Policy & Finance Committee in October.</p> <p>A member suggested that the current cleaning company be included with the procurement process.</p> <p>The Town Clerk updated that the Community Toilet scheme would be difficult to pursue at the present time due to COVID restrictions on pubs/café /shops etc but that it could be revisited when the pandemic settles down.</p> <p>PROPOSED BY: Councillor Roger Bailey SECONDED BY: Councillor Ian Porter</p> <p>A vote was taken and accordingly it was carried</p> <p>RESOLVED: That a procurement process for outsourcing the public toilet service be authorised and a report be brought to the Policy & Finance Committee for consideration.</p>

38. Crime Prevention Budget Allocation

The report of the Grounds Manager had been previously circulated which outlined 3 options to use the Crime Prevention budget, for members' consideration.

Debate ensued. A member felt there was much information which needed to be carefully considered and that each option came with its own merits and risks. A suggestion was made to take the options to a working group to make a recommendation.

In relation to option 3b a member asked if the Community Response Officer would be restricted to Weston. The Grounds Manager confirmed that they would be a Weston focused officer joining a team of two others already in situ for North Somerset.

Members favored option 3b but raised the point that they would not want the officer used as a 'COVID Marshall'.

The Town Clerk explained that when members set the budget, the vision was to create a resource specifically for the town council to call on, to cover antisocial behaviour hot spots throughout Weston and Worle and not restricted to the town center. If members wanted to set up a working group, then this was an option.

The Grounds Manager advised that employing staff required training. The BID wardens were highly visible and would require a patrol plan. The Town Council did not have a full picture of the complaints received and so would have to liaise with North Somerset Council to operate. The town council has had various antisocial behavior problems but doesn't have the powers to remove, so is having to work with North Somerset Council to address.

The Chairman reported that he had experienced anti-social behaviour and unauthorised encampment problems within his ward. North Somerset Council only had two Community Response Officers across the whole district and were very stretched. If the town council were to provide its own resource it would demonstrate to residents that it was trying to tackle antisocial behaviour within the town. He therefore favored option 3b. Although he was understanding of the concerns raised regarding the need for careful consideration of the options via a working group, he was conscious of how much time this would take.

In response to this, the member elaborated on her concerns of duplication of service and matrix management difficulties, tasking and multi-agency steering groups and would like to see mechanisms in place for ward councilors to be involved.

The Grounds Manager advised that two out of three calls made to Avon & Somerset Police were for incidents in Weston and Worle and that she had already began speaking with Howard Potheary, Community Safety Manager at North Somerset Council regarding tasking.

	<p>The Grounds Manager highlighted the problems experienced at the Milton Road Cemetery which was out of CCTV range. The Police beat team were now patrolling twice a day and the Community Response team were letter dropping to residents, as the grounds team did not have the time or the resource to tackle the problem.</p> <p>Members wanted mechanisms to be in place so that the officer would report directly to the Town Council.</p> <p>It was suggested that any concerns raised could be dealt with via a Memorandum of Understanding and it was therefore</p> <p>PROPOSED BY: Councillor Ciaran Cronnelly SECONDED BY: Councillor Roger Bailey</p> <p>That option 3b, to employ 1 x full time Community Response Officer as per the details within the Grounds Manager's report, be approved.</p> <p>Further debate ensued. It was said that all concerns should be dealt with via a service level agreement.</p> <p>The Grounds Manager informed that the officer would be employed on a 3 year contract because of staffing and training implications to include vehicle leasing.</p> <p>A member highlighted that the council was therefore committing to a £150,000 expenditure.</p> <p>The Deputy Town Clerk confirmed that this would be a £50,000 per year budget implication.</p> <p>In light of this information, Councillor Roger Bailey withdrew his seconding of the proposal.</p> <p>The same motion was then:</p> <p>PROPOSED BY: Councillor Ciaran Cronnelly SECONDED BY: Councillor Helen Thornton</p> <p>A vote was taken and was tied 5 for and 5 against. The Chairman made the casting vote for, and accordingly the motion was carried.</p> <p>RESOLVED: That option 3b, to employ 1 x Full-Time Community Response Officer as per the details within the Grounds Manager's report be approved.</p>
39.	<p>Literacy Festival Event 3 Year Review</p> <p>The report of the Community and Grounds Administrator had been previously circulated.</p> <p>The Town Clerk reported that the Community and Grounds Administrator had done a huge amount of work on the event over the past 3 years. As a result of COVID restrictions it was impractical to run the event in 2021 and now was a good time to review the event as a whole. The Community and Grounds Administrator would</p>

	<p>bring back some options for further events that could withstand the economic climate at a future meeting.</p> <p>Members agreed that the expenditure on the festival was not justified at the present time and that a break would enable time to look at different approaches. The time could be spent linking in with other organisations including the Museum/Blakehay Theatre and Culture Weston to re launch a new strategy off the back of COVID.</p> <p>Members conveyed their tribute to the Community and Grounds Administrator for her work on the event over the past 3 years.</p> <p>PROPOSED BY: Councillor Roger Bailey SECONDED BY: Councillor Helen Thornton</p> <p>A vote was taken and was carried</p> <p>RESOLVED: That a Working Group be set up to discuss and reevaluate the future of the Literary Festival event.</p>
40.	<p>Street Naming</p> <p>New Road Name Request for Part of Development Site off Woodside Avenue, Hutton, Weston-super-Mare</p> <p>A site map of the development had been previously circulated.</p> <p>The Town Clerk advised that both North Somerset Council and Hutton Parish Council had made suggestions for the development. North Somerset had proposed 'Ten Acres Close'. In answer to a question from a member the Town Clerk confirmed that 'Ten Acres' was a historic name for the area on a tithe map.</p> <p>PROPOSED BY: Councillor John Crockford – Hawley SECONDED BY: Councillor Ian Porter</p> <p>A vote was taken and accordingly it was carried</p> <p>RESOLVED: That the road name 'Ten Acres Close' be recommend to Corporate Services, North Somerset Council.</p>
	<p>There being no further business, the Chairman closed the meeting at 8.32 pm.</p> <p>Signed: Dated: Councillor James Clayton Chairman of the Community Services Committee</p>

Weston-Super-Mare YMCA and Town Council Partnership

Report for Community Services prepared by May Barnett and Alli Waller.

1. Purpose of report

This report covers services and work delivered and supported from the beginning of June 2020 to the end of August 2020 in the Weston-super-Mare area. This includes the development and progress of the programme and services for young people and the community at YMCA W-s-M, 2 Bristol Road Lower and Weston Youth and Community Centre, Coleridge Road.

2. Work with young people – Youth & Community café

a) Open access:

The Youth & Community cafe provides a youth focused, free, well-appointed space for young people after school and on Saturdays with additional opening during school holidays.

Through this service we aim to ensure that every young person should:

- Feel safe and welcome
- Have someone they can talk to and access to support
- Have the opportunity to make friends, socialise and be active
- Feel more connected with their community
- Have the chance to achieve and challenge themselves to reach their full potential
- Have fun

The YMCA Youth and Community café is beginning to reopen. We have begun to re- introduce small groups that originally used the space before. In November we will welcome back the Street pastors, Young Carers, ups and Downs and the Church coffee morning. We have implemented social distancing within the café so we can utilise the space safely.

b) Targeted work:

We recognise that for some individuals or groups what we regularly offer may not be easily accessible to them. So we look at targeted work to support people to access our facilities and services who might not otherwise be able to. This work is predominantly carried out in partnership and we currently work with:

- **Young Carers** – *Junior & Senior sessions, Restarting November*
- **Home Education Network** - *youth project, Restarted October.*
- **Young people with disabilities** – Still on hold
- **Ups & Downs South West Youth Project** – *restarting Nov*
- **Adult young carers-** *Still on hold*
- **Care Leavers-** *Still on hold*
- **Groups tackling isolation for young people** – Still on Hold

3. Work with young people – satellites & outreach

We have had a great first month as the Youth & Community team returned to face to face delivery in 5 of our satellites.

We have had an influx of new members at many of our provisions and the new registration system, using a QR code is working well. Young people are adapting well to the social distancing guidelines.

Weston Youth centre

The Friday open access sessions has been slow as it remains as an outdoor group. We have been meeting with a small group so we can continue to offer support to young people from Bournville, Oldmixon and Coronation.

We are also working with South West Activity Network (SWAN) to look at funding so we can open the session as a pop up youth café. The funding would cover the cost of light meals and weekly activity packs for young people as well as additional outside support covering awareness around sexual and mental health. By using the session in this way, it will help the young people to abide by the social distancing guidelines whilst giving them a safe place to come for support, information and guidance.

We have also worked with SWAN by identifying families that would benefit from the Halloween half term food project. Around 150 benefitted from the activity and recipe packs.

We continue to keep in contact with our partners on a regular basis too, sending monthly updates on our work within each area.

Our work with Big Worle continues. The Youth & Community team delivered Halloween activity packs for all the young people that attend Youth Club. This continues to give us a safe opportunity to check in with all the families and young people that not returned to the sessions. These sessions were held outdoors at Castlebatch school grounds, unfortunately due to the weather we have had to revert back to online delivery as the Big Worle venue is too small (due to government guidelines) while we look for more suitable premises.

Our offer of an online support service through our social media platforms (Facebook & Instagram) continues to be offered.

4. Youth Council

Youth council continues to meet via Zoom. We have had 6 new member join and at the last meeting we looked at our Youth Council Strategy to make sure it was a relevant to our values. Sadly our Chair has stepped down due to school commitments so we will be looking to replace her in the coming months.

5. Links to Town Council Strategy

The work being undertaken always strives to meet the presented needs of the community whilst building the quality of provision for young people and developing the scope of the work that the Town Council supports with young people. We are always keen to look at addressing the needs of young people across the area and are happy to look at establishing any new work or work in new areas that there is an identifiable need for.



REPORT TO POLICY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE – 19th OCTOBER 2020

GRANT APPLICATIONS FOR THE PERIOD DECEMBER 2019 – JULY 2020

REPORT OF THE DEPUTY TOWN CLERK / RESPONSIBLE FINANCIAL OFFICER

The small and voluntary grant applications have been brought to the Policy and Finance Committee on this occasion only. Whilst they would normally be put to the Community Services Committee, there is a need to deal with the applications with a matter of urgency, due to them having been in the possession of the finance team for an unprecedented amount of time as a result of COVID-19. To delay them further does not seem prudent.

Members will find the following information accompanying the report:

- 2020/2021 Grant Budget detailing money spent so far and the remaining budget
- The grant scoring matrix for each grant application

The following organisations have applied for funding during the period 10/12/2019-25/07/2020:

Organisation	Date Applied	Amount Requested
Birnbeck regeneration Trust	25/07/2020	£2,000.00
Great Western Air Ambulance	02/06/2020	£2,000.00
Marie Curie	24/06/2020	£1,000.00
North Somerset People First	21/07/2020	£987.00
Read Easy Weston-super-Mare	03/02/2020	£400.00
Revitalise	15/06/2020	£1,000.00
Somerset Storyfest	14/02/2020	£1,040.00
That Creative Thingy Wotsit CIC	10/12/2019	£600.00
Weston Super Mare Street Pastors	07/05/2020	£1,000.00
WSM Pride CIC	04/03/2020	£1,500.00
Worle Wind Band	01/02/2020	£1,000.00
Total		£12,527.00

There is only £6,068 available from the 2020-2021 budget for small and voluntary grants.

Members are request to:

Consider the grant applications received and award as appropriate.

4070/480

[illegible]

Grant Application Scoring Matrix - Community Services Committee - MASTER

Name of Applicant	Birbeck Regeneration Trust
Amount Requested	£2,000
Date Received	25/07/2020
Previously Awarded Funding	Yes 2018 £1,000

Grant Eligibility - Scoring Summary

Scoring has been identified as appropriate in the following areas of the grant application form, the purpose of which is to aid consultants in understanding the application that has been received - it does not given a finite outcome as members will need to consider other (non scored) information along side this, at the bottom of the form.

	CRITERIA		
	YES	Unclear	NO
Is the companies limited by shares or limited liability partnership			NO
Local Authority or body owned or controlled by them			NO
Political Organisation - unless clear demonstration of benefit to wider community			NO
Individuals seeking Financial funding			NO
Upward Funders ie local groups who fundraising is sent for central distribution			NO
Group Fundraisers whom distribute funds as grants or sponsorship of other organisations or individuals			NO
Grants will not be made retrospectively			NO
Is the organisation a Charity or Non Profit Making Body that benefits the local community	YES		
The organisation has submitted a trading account and balance sheet for the last financial year			NO
The organisation has submitted bank / building society statements			NO
The organisation has provided clear justification why it needs grant aid	YES		
Application provides indication of percentage number of beneficiaries are residents to WSM	YES		
The organisation has provided evidence of any other financial backing from other sources & the outcome	YES		
Is the organisation based in the parish of WSM (including Worle & Uphill) or serving a significant amount of residents	YES		
Is the organisation a New Start up			NO
Is the application for grant to cover salaries or staff costs			NO

Scoring TOTAL 5 0 11

Additional Information

How much £'s Resource does the organisation have in total (Balance Sheet / Bank Account ?

Is the a more appropriate source of funding the organisation should be direct to / Who?

Would there be a significant adverse effect on the town due to a lack of funds being sourced?

What Benefit does it provide to the town?

Funds required toward a temporary building on the promenade by Pier View

Grant Application Scoring Matrix - Community Services Committee - MASTER

Name of Applicant	Great Western Air Ambulance
Amount Requested	2,000
Date Received	02 06 2020
Previously Awarded Funding	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Dates / Amounts 2016 -£150 from MCF & 2012 * £843.87 from MCF

Grant Eligibility - Scoring Summary

Scoring has been identified as appropriate in the following areas of the grant application form, the purpose of which is to aid councillors in understanding the application that has been received - it does not given a finite outcome as members will need to consider other (non scored) information along side this, at the bottom of the form.

CRITERIA		YES	Unclear	NO
Is the companies limited by shares or limited liability partnership				NO
Local Authority or body owned or controlled by them				NO
Political Organisation - unless clear demonstration of benefit to wider community				NO
Individuals seeking Financial funding				NO
Upward Funders' ie local groups who fundraising is sent for central distribution		YES		
Group Fundraisers whom distribute funds as grants or sponsorship of other organisations or individuals				NO
Grants will not be made retrospectively				NO
Is the organisation a Charity or Non Profit Making Body that benefits the local community		YES		
The organisation has submitted a trading account and balance sheet for the last financial year		YES		
The organisation has submitted bank / building society statements		YES		
The organisation has provided clear justification why it needs grant aid		YES		
Application provides indication of percentage number of beneficiaries are residents to WSM		YES		
The organisation has provided evidence of any other financial backing from other sources & the outcome		YES		
Is the organisation based in the parish of WSM (including Worle & Uphill) or serving a significant amount of residents				NO
Is the organisation a New Start up				NO
Is the application for grant to cover salaries or staff costs				NO

Scoring TOTAL 7 0 9

Additional Information

How much £'s Resource does the organisation have in total / Balance Sheet / Bank Account ?

Unrestricted funds of £4,869,169 balance at 31.03.18 with running costs for the year 2018 @ £3,313,535

Is the a more appropriate source of funding the organisation should be direct to / Who ?

This is a charity organisation that provides emergency support for WSM they are applying for funding from all town councils following the decline in

Would there be a significant adverse effect on the town due to a lack of funds being sourced?

This is a regional emergency service so it is not directly for WSM but does cover the WSM area.

What Benefit does it provide to the town ?

In 2019 the ambulance attended to 113 people directly in WSM as per the application received. It attended 254 across NSC so just less than half of this emergency response in the area was related to WSM. They have received funding from Nalaisea and Backwell also to help cover costs for this area within the district also.

Grant Application Scoring Matrix - Community Services Committee - MASTER

Name of Applicant	Marie Curie South West Office		
Amount Requested	£1,000		
Date Received	24/06/2020		
Previously Awarded Funding	No		

Grant Eligibility - Scoring Summary

Scoring has been identified as appropriate in the following areas of the grant application form, the purpose of which is to aid councillors in understanding the application that has been received - it does not given a finite outcome as members will need to consider other (non scored) information along side this, at the bottom of the form.

	CRITERIA		
	YES	Unclear	NO
Is the company limited by shares or limited liability partnership			NO
Local Authority or body owned or controlled by them			NO
Political Organisation - unless clear demonstration of benefit to wider community			NO
Individuals seeking Financial funding			NO
Upward Funders ie local groups who fundraising is sent for central distribution			NO
Group Fundraisers whom distribute funds as grants or sponsorship of other organisations or individuals			NO
Grants will not be made retrospectively		Unclear	
Is the organisation a Charity or Non Profit Making Body that benefits the local community	YES		
The organisation has submitted a trading account and balance sheet for the last financial year	YES		
The organisation has submitted bank / building society statements	YES		
The organisation has provided clear justification why it needs grant aid	YES		
Application provides indication of percentage number of beneficiaries are residents to WSM		Unclear	
The organisation has provided evidence of any other financial backing from other sources & the outcome	YES		
Is the organisation based in the parish of WSM (including Worle & Uphill) or serving a significant amount of residents			NO
Is the organisation a New Start up			NO
Is the application for grant to cover salaries or staff costs	YES		

Scoring TOTAL

6

2

8

Additional Information

How much £'s Resource does the organisation have in total (Balance Sheet / Bank Account ?

Free reserves (general funds) £48,832 @ 2019

Is the a more appropriate source of funding the organisation should be direct to / Who?

N/a

Would there be a significant adverse effect on the town due to a lack of funds being sourced?

this is a national organisation operating regionally, so is not directly for WSM, but does cover the WSM area

What Benefit does it provide to the town?

Providing end of life care to residents, approx 18 people.

Grant Application Scoring Matrix - Community Services Committee - MASTER

Name of Applicant	North Somerset People First
Amount Requested	£987
Date Received	21/07/2020
Previously Awarded Funding	No

Grant Eligibility - Scoring Summary

Scoring has been identified as appropriate in the following areas of the grant application form, the purpose of which is to aid councillors in understanding the application that has been received - it does not give a finite outcome as members will need to consider other (non scored) information along side this, at the bottom of the form.

	CRITERIA		
	YES	Unclear	NO
Is the companies limited by shares or limited liability partnership			NO
Local Authority or body owned or controlled by them			NO
Political Organisation - unless clear demonstration of benefit to wider community			NO
Individuals seeking Financial funding			NO
Upward Funders' ie local groups who fundraising is sent for central distribution			NO
Group Fundraisers whom distribute funds as grants or sponsorship of other organisations or individuals			NO
Grants will not be made retrospectively			NO
Is the organisation a Charity or Non Profit Making Body that benefits the local community	YES		
The organisation has submitted a trading account and balance sheet for the last financial year	YES		
The organisation has submitted bank / building society statements	YES		
The organisation has provided clear justification why it needs grant aid	YES		
Application provides indication of percentage number of beneficiaries are residents in WSM	YES		
The organisation has provided evidence of any other financial backing from other sources & the outcome	YES		
Is the organisation based in the parish of WSM (including Worle & Uphill) or serving a significant amount of residents	YES		
Is the organisation a New Start up			NO
Is the application for grant to cover salaries or staff costs			NO

Scoring TOTAL 7 0 9

Additional information

How much £'s Resource does the organisation have in total (Balance Sheet / Bank Account ?

Balance carried forward £186,972.62 @2019

Is the a more appropriate source of funding the organisation should be direct to / Who?

N/a

Would there be a significant adverse effect on the town due to a lack of funds being sourced?

No

What Benefit does it provide to the town?

self advocacy organisation run for and led by people with a disability or autism. Currently working with approx 400 people

Grant Application Scoring Matrix - Community Services Committee - MASTER

Name of Applicant	Read Easy WSM
Amount Requested	£400
Date Received	03.02.2020
Previously Awarded Funding	No

Grant Eligibility - Scoring Summary

Scoring has been identified as appropriate in the following areas of the grant application form, the purpose of which is to aid councillors in understanding the application that has been received - it does not give a final outcome as members will need to consider other (non scored) information along side this, at the bottom of the form.

	CRITERIA		
	YES	Unclear	NO
Is the companies limited by shares or limited liability partnership			NO
Local Authority or body owned or controlled by them			NO
Political Organisation - unless clear demonstration of benefit to wider community			NO
Individuals seeking Financial funding			NO
Upward Funders' ie local groups who fundraising is sent for central distribution			NO
Group Fundraisers whom distribute funds as grants or sponsorship of other organisations or individuals			NO
Grants will not be made retrospectively			NO
Is the organisation a Charity or Non Profit Making Body that benefits the local community	YES		
The organisation has submitted a trading account and balance sheet for the last financial year	YES		
The organisation has submitted bank / building society statements	YES		
The organisation has provided clear justification why it needs grant aid	YES		
Application provides indication of percentage number of beneficiaries are residents to WSM	YES		
The organisation has provided evidence of any other financial backing from other sources & the outcome	YES		
Is the organisation based in the parish of WSM (including Worle & Uphill) or serving a significant amount of residents	YES		
Is the organisation a New Start up			NO
Is the application for grant to cover salaries or staff costs			NO

Scoring TOTAL 7 0 9

Additional Information

How much £s Resource does the organisation have in total (Balance Sheet / Bank Account ?

Bank Balance @ 02.20.2020 = £2,331

Is the a more appropriate source of funding the organisation should be direct to / Who?

They have applied to other local funders to gain support

Would there be a significant adverse effect on the town due to a lack of funds being sourced?

Not obviously - they are aiming to help up to 60 Adults in WSM to read who are not able to - using volunteer coaches

What Benefit does it provide to the town?

Adults encouraged to read to enhance ability to gain employment. Financial statements show expenditure over the last year was on Affiliation costs for Read Easy UK. Travel expenses for the volunteer coaches and phone costs.

Grant Application Scoring Matrix - Community Services Committee - MASTER

Name of Applicant	Realise
Amount Requested	£1,000
Date Received	15.06.2020
Previously Awarded Funding	Yes / Dates / Amounts June 2006 = £1,000 & May 2005 = £1,000

Grant Eligibility - Scoring Summary

Scoring has been identified as appropriate in the following areas of the grant application form, the purpose of which is to aid councillors in understanding the application that has been received - it does not give a finite outcome as members will need to consider other (non scored) information along side this, at the bottom of the form.

	CRITERIA						
	YES	Unclear	NO				
Is the companies limited by shares or limited liability partnership		Unclear					
Local Authority or body owned or controlled by them			NO				
Political Organisation - unless clear demonstration of benefit to wider community			NO				
Individuals seeking Financial funding			NO				
Upward Funders' ie local groups who fundraising is sent for central distribution	YES						
Group Fundraisers whom distribute funds as grants or sponsorship of other organisations or individuals			NO				
Grants will not be made retrospectively			NO				
Is the organisation a Charity or Non Profit Making Body that benefits the local community	YES						
The organisation has submitted a Trading account and balance sheet for the last financial year	YES						
The organisation has submitted bank / building society statements			NO				
The organisation has provided clear justification why it needs grant aid	YES						
Application provides indication of percentage number of beneficiaries are residents to WSM	YES						
The organisation has provided evidence of any other financial backing from other sources & the outcome	YES						
Is the organisation based in the parish of WSM (including Worle & Uphill) or serving a significant amount of residents			NO				
Is the organisation a New Start up			NO				
Is the application for grant to cover salaries or staff costs			NO				
Additional Information	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Scoring TOTAL</td> <td>6</td> <td>0</td> <td>9</td> </tr> </table>			Scoring TOTAL	6	0	9
Scoring TOTAL	6	0	9				

How much £'s Resource does the organisation have in total (Balance Sheet / Bank Account ?

£7,278 @ 31.01.19

Is the a more appropriate source of funding the organisation should be direct to / Who?

They appear to be applying from funds from many places country wide in order to provide holidays for disabled people all around the country

Would there be a significant adverse effect on the town due to a lack of funds being sourced?

They have advised that last year they provide holidays for 8 WSM disabled residents.

What Benefit does it provide to the town?

Limited direct benefit to the town as any funds awarded are to provide respite holidays for disabled people and their careers and this is limited in numbers as above for WSM

Grant Application Scoring Matrix - Community Services Committee - MASTER

Name of Applicant	Somerset Storyfest
Amount Requested	£1,040
Date Received	14.02.2020
Previously Awarded Funding	No

Grant Eligibility - Scoring Summary

Scoring has been identified as appropriate in the following areas of the grant application form, the purpose of which is to aid councillors in understanding the application that has been received - it does not give a final outcome as members will need to consider other (non scored) information along side this, at the bottom of the form.

	CRITERIA		
	YES	Unclear	NO
Is the companies limited by shares or limited liability partnership			NO
Local Authority or body owned or controlled by them		Unclear	
Political Organisation - unless clear demonstration of benefit to wider community			NO
Individuals seeking Financial funding		Unclear	
Upward Funders' ie local groups who fundraising is sent for central distribution			NO
Group Fundraisers whom distribute funds as grants or sponsorship of other organisations or individuals			NO
Grants will not be made retrospectively			NO
Is the organisation a Charity or Non Profit Making Body that benefits the local community		Unclear	
The organisation has submitted a trading account and balance sheet for the last financial year			NO
The organisation has submitted bank / building society statements	YES		
The organisation has provided clear justification why it needs grant aid	YES		
Application provides indication of percentage number of beneficiaries are residents to WSM	YES		
The organisation has provided evidence of any other financial backing from other sources & the outcome	YES		
Is the organisation based in the parish of WSM (including Worle & Uphill) or serving a significant amount of residents	YES		
Is the organisation a New Start up			NO
Is the application for grant to cover salaries or staff costs			NO

Scoring TOTAL

5 0 8

Additional Information

How much £'s Resource does the organisation have in total (Balance Sheet / Bank Account ?

£2,014 @ 06.02.2020

Is the a more appropriate source of funding the organisation should be direct to / Who?

The organisation covers the Somerset Area but is asking for funding specifically to provide resources for Becket & Bournville Primary Schools

Would there be a significant adverse effect on the town due to a lack of funds being sourced?

Not directly to the town but it may have impact on the delivery to the schools mention - who are also asked to make a contribution towards the cost of the provision

What Benefit does it provide to the town?

Becket & Bournville Primary schools - have been cited, but there could be others?

Grant Application Scoring Matrix - Community Services Committee - MASTER

Name of Applicant	That Creative Thing! Wistat (CIC)		
Amount Requested	£1,000		
Date Received	Dec. 19		
Previously Awarded Funding	Yes	Dates / Amounts 17.04.18 = £1,000	

Grant Eligibility - Scoring Summary

Scoring has been identified as appropriate in the following areas of the grant application form, the purpose of which is to aid councillors in understanding the application that has been received - it does not give a finite outcome as members will need to consider other (non scored) information along side this, at the bottom of the form.

	CRITERIA		
	YES	Unclear	NO
Is the company limited by shares or limited liability partnership			NO
Local Authority or body owned or controlled by them			NO
Political Organisation - unless clear demonstration of benefit to wider community			NO
Individuals seeking Financial funding			NO
Upward Funders' ie local groups who fundraising is sent for central distribution			NO
Group Fundraisers whom distribute funds as grants or sponsorship of other organisations or individuals			NO
Grants will not be made retrospectively			NO
Is the organisation a Charity or Non Profit Making Body that benefits the local community	YES		
The organisation has submitted a Trading account and balance sheet for the last financial year	YES		
The organisation has submitted bank / building society statements			NO
The organisation has provided clear justification why it needs grant aid	YES		
Application provides indication of percentage number of beneficiaries are residents to WSM	YES		
The organisation has provided evidence of any other financial backing from other sources & the outcome	YES		
Is the organisation based in the parish of WSM (including Worle & Uphill) or serving a significant amount of residents			NO
Is the organisation a New Start up			NO
Is the application for grant to cover salaries or staff costs			NO

Scoring TOTAL 5 0 10

Additional Information

How much £s Resource does the organisation have in total (Balance Sheet / Bank Account ?

P & L @ 31.08.18 = £1,116

Is the a more appropriate source of funding the organisation should be direct to / Who?

Not sure

Would there be a significant adverse effect on the town due to a lack of funds being sourced?

They aim to benefit 350 residents over 12 care homes in WSM

What Benefit does it provide to the town?

They are hoping to provide Dementia Care Methodology training to improve the delivery of services to residents

Grant Application Scoring Matrix - Community Services Committee - MASTER

Name of Applicant	Weston Super Mare Street Pastors		
Amount Requested	£1,000		
Date Received	7th May 2020		
Previously Awarded Funding	Yes	Dates / Amounts 2007 & 2014 = MCF recipient	

Grant Eligibility - Scoring Summary

Scoring has been identified as appropriate in the following areas of the grant application form, the purpose of which is to aid councillors in understanding the application that has been received - it does not given a finite outcome as members will need to consider other (non scored) information along side this, at the bottom of the form.

	CRITERIA		
	YES	Unclear	NO
Is the companies limited by shares or limited liability partnership			NO
Local Authority or body owned or controlled by them			NO
Political Organisation - unless clear demonstration of benefit to wider community			NO
Individuals seeking Financial funding			NO
Upward Funders' in local groups who fundraising is sent for central distribution			NO
Group Fundraisers whom distribute funds as grants or sponsorship of other organisations or individuals			NO
Grants will not be made retrospectively			NO
Is the organisation a Charity or Non Profit Making Body that benefits the local community	YES		
The organisation has submitted a trading account and balance sheet for the last financial year			NO
The organisation has submitted bank / building society statements	YES		
The organisation has provided clear justification why it needs grant aid	YES		
Application provides indication of percentage number of beneficiaries are residents to WSM		Unclear	
The organisation has provided evidence of any other financial backing from other sources & the outcome			NO
Is the organisation based in the parish of WSM (including Worle & Uphill) or serving a significant amount of residents	YES		
Is the organisation a New Start up			NO
Is the application for grant to cover salaries or staff costs			NO

Scoring TOTAL 4 1 11

Additional Information

How much £'s Resource does the organisation have in total (Balance Sheet / Bank Account ? £2,416 @ 16.04.2020

Is the a more appropriate source of funding the organisation should be direct to / Who? No

Would there be a significant adverse effect on the town due to a lack of funds being sourced?

What Benefit does it provide to the town?

It could limit the amount of voluntary Street Pastors in WSM if funds cant be raised for training and uniform

The application has provided detail of what it does to support the 'night time' life at WSM providing support for those in need (see application)

Grant Application Scoring Matrix - Community Services Committee- MASTER

Name of Applicant	WSM Pride (CIC)
Amount Requested	£1 500
Date Received	04.03.2020
Previously Awarded Funding	Yes Dates / Amounts 2019 - £1 500 & 2018 - £1 000

Grant Eligibility - Scoring Summary

Scoring has been identified as appropriate in the following areas of the grant application form, the purpose of which is to aid councillors in understanding the application that has been received - it does not given a finite outcome as members will need to consider other (non scored) information along side this, at the bottom of the form

	CRITERIA		
	YES	Unclear	NO
Is the companies limited by shares or limited liability partnership			NO
Local Authority or body owned or controlled by them			NO
Political Organisation - unless clear demonstration of benefit to wider community			NO
Individuals seeking Financial Funding			NO
Upward Funders' ie local groups who fundraising is sent for central distribution			NO
Group Fundraisers whom distribute funds as grants or sponsorship of other organisations or individuals			NO
Grants will not be made retrospectively			NO
Is the organisation a Charity or Non Profit Making Body that benefits the local community	YES		
The organisation has submitted a trading account and balance sheet for the last financial year			NO
The organisation has submitted bank / building society statements			NO
The organisation has provided clear justification why it needs grant aid	YES		
Application provides indication of percentage number of beneficiaries are residents to WSM	YES		
The organisation has provided evidence of any other financial backing from other sources & the outcome		Unclear	
Is the organisation based in the parish of WSM (including Worle & Uphill) or serving a significant amount of residents	YES		
Is the organisation a New Start up			NO
Is the application for grant to cover salaries or staff costs			NO

Scoring TOTAL 4 0 11

Additional Information

How much £s Resource does the organisation have in total (Balance Sheet / Bank Account ?

not Known

Is the a more appropriate source of funding the organisation should be direct to / Who?

No

Would there be a significant adverse effect on the town due to a lack of funds being sourced?

The monies are for the cost of holding a trial run of the parade in 2020 ahead of the National event in 2021

What Benefit does it provide to the town?

Promotion of equality & diversity in the town whilst supporting the LGBT+ community.

Grant Application Scoring Matrix - Community Services Committee - MASTER

Name of Applicant Worle Wind Band

Amount Requested £1,000

Date Received 01/02/2020

Previously Awarded Funding Yes Dates / Amounts: 12/11/2010 £500 & 11/01/2013 £400

Grant Eligibility - Scoring Summary

Scoring has been identified as appropriate in the following areas of the grant application form, the purpose of which is to aid councillors in understanding the application that has been received - it does not given a finite outcome as members will need to consider other (non scored) information along side this, at the bottom of the form.

	CRITERIA		
	YES	Unclear	NO
Is the companies limited by shares or limited liability partnership			NO
Local Authority or body owned or controlled by them			NO
Political Organisation - unless clear demonstration of benefit to wider community			NO
Individuals seeking Financial funding			NO
Upward Funders' ie local groups who fundraising is sent for central distribution			NO
Group Fundraisers whom distribute funds as grants or sponsorship of other organisations or individuals			NO
Grants will not be made retrospectively			NO
Is the organisation a Charity or Non Profit Making Body that benefits the local community	YES		
The organisation has submitted a trading account and balance sheet for the last financial year	YES		
The organisation has submitted bank / building society statements	YES		
The organisation has provided clear justification why it needs grant aid	YES		
Application provides indication of percentage number of beneficiaries are residents to WSM	YES		
The organisation has provided evidence of any other financial backing from other sources & the outcome	YES		
Is the organisation based in the parish of WSM (including Worle & Uphill) or serving a significant amount of residents	YES		
Is the organisation a New Start up			NO
Is the application for grant to cover salaries or staff costs			NO

Scoring TOTAL 7 0 9

Additional Information

How much £'s Resource does the organisation have in total (Balance Sheet / Bank Account ? £1,012 unrestricted funds

Is the a more appropriate source of funding the organisation should be direct to / Who? N/a

Would there be a significant adverse effect on the town due to a lack of funds being sourced? No

What Benefit does it provide to the town?

Worle wind band is a registered charity of 60 musicians, 35 of which come from WSM. The band is in demand to perform, with 35,000 people attending 184 performances over 10 years.

Weston Town Council Allotments

There was a proposal at full town council for increased efficiency to find ways to reduce the cost of having evening committee meetings (if they could be avoided) and the cost of the officer involvement. I am therefore proposing a way that we can both reduce or remove allotment committee meetings whilst maintaining a good measure of control over what happens.

I have at least as much experience of these committees as any current Councillor and have an interest to see that they are properly managed as I also am a town council allotment plot holder.

In addition we have not had an Allotment meeting during the pandemic and I really cannot see that the allotment club members would be ready or equipped to have zoom meetings with councillors or staff. Currently the “grounds manager” is liaising with the Chairman of the allotment club directly without any input from Councillors.

As members may know the “allotment club” (made up of those that actually have allotments) take on the responsibility of allocating plots and recording plot requests, charging annual fees, keeping plot holders to account, clearing rubbish and maintaining paths. The Town council devolves these tasks whilst being responsible for each allotment boundary and supplying stone for the paths etc.

This arrangement is hugely cost effective and to the advantage of both parties. In spite of that the committee meetings can sometimes achieve very little, beyond the club chairman restating tasks and issues that he would like assistance with, so councillors sometimes find their involvement was at best marginal.

The primary involvement with councillors as I see is as follows :-

- 1) To resolve issues connected with the allotments arising from outside sources. (badgers, drainage, adjacent home owners etc)
- 2) To ensure that the grounds staff and team give sufficient priority to allotment related issues.
- 3) To monitor the public demand for plots, and eventually when justified to consider the purchase of acquiring new allotments.

My proposal is as follows :-

- 1) Do away with the current format of allotment meetings. I understand the allotment club would be happy with a less formal meeting approach.
- 2) Have a Councillor (or possibly two) who can act as a focus and contact for the allotment club. This is similar to how we currently have a member delegated to other outside bodies.
- 3) That the nominated Councillor(s) to have at least one annual face-to-face meeting with the allotment club together with our “grounds” management team to review the current situation and suggest adjustments.
- 4) That the allotment club waiting list report statistics are included in the “community services” meeting (in order to assess the need for more allotments). In addition (I suggest) a list of uncompleted tasks requested by the allotment club.
- 5) To prepare for the purchase of allotments I also believe we should be setting aside say 10% or the community infrastructure funds (CIL) for this purpose. Which I think is reasonable as the CIL fund grows proportionate to the growth in the increase in population.

Cllr Roger Bailey